

<u>Submitted By</u>	Comment
<p data-bbox="449 331 638 358">10/23/2020 13:30</p> <p data-bbox="142 407 510 475">David Fleming davidfleming13@hotmail.com</p> <p data-bbox="142 524 348 586">Anchorage, AK, US Anonymous User</p>	<p data-bbox="653 228 1923 358">I am a setnet gillnet fisherman in Prince William Sound. This past year has been very burdensome with very low pricing and extremely poor returns with shutdowns in the Copper River making our harvest and income the lowest in the past 5 years. Market prices were very poor due to lack in demand of salmon and my crew of 6 (including myself) made very little monetarily. Commercial fisheries are an income source to many Alaskans and would appreciated the state</p> <p data-bbox="653 407 804 509">Sincerely, David Fleming 907 202 4503</p>
<p data-bbox="459 695 638 722">10/14/2020 7:59</p> <p data-bbox="142 813 348 875">Anchorage, AK, US Anonymous User</p>	<p data-bbox="653 592 1923 797">My comment is specific to the Subsistence sector as defined in the Section 12005 CARES Act Spend Plan. I am concerned that any household may apply equally, when there is a vast difference in the importance of subsistence fishing to a household based in Anchorage vs. a village household. It would be more appropriate for the application process to address the relative proportion of subsistence harvested fish to the overall household food consumption. A for food security.</p>
<p data-bbox="449 889 638 917">10/12/2020 21:02</p> <p data-bbox="142 966 478 1027">Daniel Clark Danny1104444@gmail.com</p> <p data-bbox="142 1076 348 1138">Anchorage, AK, US Anonymous User</p>	<p data-bbox="653 1052 1923 1138">I hope those that couldn't run thier normal season will be considered if they can prove this is thier career. I myself couldn't go my captain wasn't willing to go. But we fished 4 years prior. And last year I fished the bering. I really could use the help unemployment only gave me \$96.00 a week. So I'm hurting and I have a child.</p>
<p data-bbox="449 1187 638 1214">10/12/2020 12:12</p>	<p data-bbox="653 1149 1923 1214">My name is Michael Chance Miller, I am a fishing guide and lifetime Alaskan resident, as well as 50% shareholder and Vice President of Miller's Landing LLC Seward Alaska on Lowell Point, Est. 1982. I am writing in support of the current</p>

Michael C Miller
mike_chance_ak@hotmail.com

Anchorage, AK, US
Anonymous User

Miller's Landing provides a diverse variety of services: water taxis, guided kayaking tours, lodging, camping, trip planning, gear rental and of course fishing. We operate 4 vessels that guide sport fishing services daily, rent 2 boats for self-guiding, hold 2 CHP's, and hire 7-9 captains and deckhands per season to facilitate this. As diverse as the many services we offer are, fishing is the backbone providing nearly 25-35% of our annual gross sales historically and the driving force for associated sales to other departments (lodging, camping, water taxis, kayaking, tours, etc). We are on the road system within a 2 hour drive of the Anchorage airport, cater all manner of clientele, and have been operating

The allocation consideration of 32% for the charter sector is a critical and fair representation of the impact that COVID represented to our industry. We lost opportunity and markets, and experienced restrictions that prevented us from operating entirely. Impromptu closures and last minute cancellations due to outbreaks were financially crippling. Fewer charters meant trouble keeping crew, which threatened available sales inventory. Consolidating trips meant fewer

I would like to raise a point of consideration in regard to the general eligibility requirements of the draft plan:

"Applicants must self-certify that the sum of traditional revenue from fishery participation and any COVID-19 pandemic-related aid will not exceed average annual revenue from fishery participation from 2015-2019" to specifically exclude

There are many of us that qualified for and received the EIDL which are holding onto them as a safety net through the winter. I know we are not alone in doing this. As you know this version of the EIDL is deferred for 12 months. We received \$150,000 and placed it in a separate account untouched, not knowing what the winter would bring, but with In doing preliminary math and comparing notes with other owner operators, if we are understanding the calculation properly, unless we return the EIDL prior to application ourselves and others would not meet the general eligibility

The EIDL is a loan requiring repayment, not a federal handout, and it should be considered that including it in the general eligibility requirements may exclude entities that are intended recipients who would otherwise genuinely

Thank You;

Capt. (Michael) Chance Miller
Miller's Landing LLC

10/8/2020 16:46

Thomas Tomrdle
coolbreeze223@hotmail.com

Can someone explain why nonresident sport guides are eligible? Why can they not apply in there state of residency just like the commercial side?..... Also whv is the sport sector % being increased by 6 times the suggested federal rate?

<p>Anchorage, AK, US Anonymous User</p>	<p>Unlike the commercial fishery the sport sector has had quite a bite of access to federal Covid funds in the form of grants and loans..... The commercial sector has had very limited access to these funds. I think this reallocation is not only unfair but also unjustified.</p>
<p>10/8/2020 15:46 Rodney Hobby rodney.hobby@gmail.com Hayden, ID, US Anonymous User</p>	<p>I'm a biologist who works in the Kenai Peninsula area. I believe the commercial fishing should get the money because they only fished couple of days. Subsistence and sports fish got to fish every day this summer with NO closers. The dip My son worked on a set net site and only fished a few times with gear restrictions. Someone needs to take control of the Kenai River dip net fishery before it's to late and the fishery collapses!!!</p>
<p>10/8/2020 13:20 Ryan hatt hattfishing55@gmail.com Eugene, OR, US Anonymous User</p>	<p>I think all processors should be in state too. Those processors from Washington shouldn't get any.</p>
<p>10/8/2020 13:20 Ryan hatt hattfishing55@gmail.com Eugene, OR, US Anonymous User</p>	<p>I think all processors should be in state too. Those processors from Washington shouldn't get any.</p>
<p>10/8/2020 13:06 Anchorage, AK, US Anonymous User</p>	<p>There should be a residency requirement for the Sport Charter sector. The Sport Charter sector should be reduced back to 5.5%. The Processing sector should be at the original 59.5%. Remove the salmon hatchery restriction in the Aquaculture sector.</p>
<p>10/8/2020 7:04</p>	<p>Maybe lower.</p>

<p>Boardman, OR, US Anonymous User</p>	<p>FUN BUT FOOD FOR THE WORLD)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •32% for processors seems about right, maybe lower.
<p>10/7/2020 10:43 Roger Heimdahl dar.rog@comcast.net Kirkland, WA, US Anonymous User</p>	<p>When will the application forms be available? How will we know they are available and how/where will we find them? Roger</p>
<p>10/6/2020 15:31 St. Mary's, AK, US Anonymous User</p>	<p>For the two weeks notification regrading ineligible will cut a lot of folks living in rural area if it is mailed via USPS, unless they are utilizing the email server. This should be extended to 3 weeks for folks that are mailing the application. As for the subsistence portion, most families on the Yukon help each others, example 3 different households help at one fish camp to harvest salmon and share, will they be any type of documents needs for the Self-certify?</p>
<p>10/6/2020 14:04 Cynthia cynthia.malain@gmail.com Portland, OR, US Anonymous User</p>	<p>I see that a group of commercial fishers are being left out and cannot even apply for CARES Act relief! Your draft states that commercial fishers who fish in Alaska but reside elsewhere should apply to their own state of residence. However, Oregon CARES Act rules, and likely Washington rules, insist that these fishermen must have state fishing licenses and also fish in state waters! That means those fishermen who live in either state, but who fish exclusively in Alaskan waters</p> <p>It appears that Alaska tries to account for them by talking about how the budget was determined to allow their own state to be able to provide them some funding relief. But if the state rules don't allow them to apply, they are left out</p>
<p>10/5/2020 19:02 James Holcomb curt_holcomb@hotmail.com</p>	<p>Everyone (commercial, sport, and other users) have all been impacted by coronavirus. There is NO one not negatively impacted. It seems a little unreasonable to put the bar at 35 percent loss for much needed help. We have paid into the system over the years in the form of taxes. We all make up the fisheries. Are you really planning on not helping the guy</p>

Seattle, WA, US Anonymous User		who has fished and paid in and has a loss of 34 percent and is in bad shape ? What if its 25 percent or 20? We've all paid in get real with your criteria. If your in the business and have been for years you deserve some help!
	10/5/2020 19:02	
James Holcomb curt_holcomb@hotmail.com Seattle, WA, US Anonymous User		Everyone (commercial, sport, and other users) have all been impacted by coronavirus. There is NO one not negatively impacted. It seems a little unreasonable to put the bar at 35 percent loss for much needed help. We have paid into the system over the years in the form of taxes. We all make up the fisheries. Are you really planning on not helping the guy who has fished and paid in and has a loss of 34 percent and is in bad shape ? What if its 25 percent or 20? We've all paid in get real with your criteria. If your in the business and have been for years you deserve some help!